

CASE REPORT

Premaxillary Osteotomy and Fixation in Bilateral Cleft Lip and Palate: A Case Report

Summera Kanwal¹, Tahera Ayub², Muhammad Ashraf Ganatra³, and Naid Rashid Qureshi⁴

ABSTRACT

In the bilateral cleft lip and palate patients, usually the premaxilla is the most commonly deformed, severely rotated to right or left side with downward displacement along with severe protrusion in some cases. In such cases, osteotomy of premaxilla is one of the options to reposition premaxilla to its normal position. In this case report, we are documenting a technique for repositioning and fixation of the premaxilla to vomerine bone after performing osteotomy and ostectomy of vomerine bone without damaging erupted and unerupted teeth. The osteotomy and ostectomy of premaxilla from bony nasal septum was performed under general anaesthesia alongwith fixation of premaxillary segment to the vomer bone with low profile miniplate. This technique was performed in one patient, who had undergone repair of bilateral cleft lip previously. There was no associated complication after premaxillary osteotomy was observed in this case. In the protruding premaxilla, ostectomy, osteotomy, and fixation of protruding premaxilla with miniplate to the vomer bone yielded satisfactory result without damaging the adjacent vital structures.

Keywords: Internal fixation, premaxillary osteotomy, stabilization of premaxilla, vomer bone,

How to cite: Kanwal S, Ayub T, Ganatra MA, Qureshi NR. Premaxillary osteotomy and fixation in bilateral cleft lip and palate: a case report. Ann Jinnah Sindh Med Uni. 2025;11(2): 67-70

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46663/ajsmu.v11i2.67-70>

INTRODUCTION

In bilateral cleft lip and palate patients, the premaxilla is sometimes severely protruded and rotated to right or left side with downward displacement¹. This abnormal position of the premaxilla results in a markedly widened alveolar cleft, making surgical reconstruction and subsequent orthodontic management particularly challenging². In such cases, surgical repositioning of the premaxilla becomes necessary to restore proper maxillary alignment and facilitate functional and aesthetic rehabilitation. Premaxillary osteotomy, followed by controlled repositioning and stabilization, is a well-established approach for managing these deformities. The premaxilla can be repositioned posteriorly and superiorly between the two lateral maxillary segments by performing an

osteotomy, alongwith selective removal of a portion of the vomerine bone using a saw or chisel and mallet. The extent of bone that has to be removed, can be assessed by using prediction analysis on orthopantomogram and lateral cephalometric radiographs³.

Several techniques and fixation devices have been described in literature for stabilizing the osteotomized premaxilla. These include internal fixation with miniplates⁴ secured to the adjacent lateral maxillary segments without ostectomy, transosseous fixation using Kirschner wires placed between the maxillary central incisors, and posterosuperior repositioning of the premaxilla between the lateral segments combined with placement of a cortical bone graft secured with miniscrews across the alveolar cleft. Despite their effectiveness, these techniques are associated with notable complications, including damage to permanent tooth buds, injury to tooth roots, and loss of alveolar bone⁵. Such complications may have a profound impact on dental development, resulting in malalignment, compromised occlusion, and even loss of permanent teeth due to insufficient bony support.

Patients with cleft lip and palate often already exhibit dental anomalies, including hypodontia, malformed teeth, or ectopic eruption. Therefore, further

Senior Registrar¹ / Professor of OMFS² / Professor of Plastic Surgery³ / Professor & Head of Department, OMFS⁴, Liaquat College of Medicine & Dentistry, Karachi, Pakistan

Correspondence: Dr. Summera Kanwal, Senior Registrar Institution: Liaquat College of Medicine & Dentistry, Karachi, Pakistan

Email: summera.kanwal@yahoo.com

Submitted: June 22, 2025

Revised: Dec. 30, 2025

Accepted: Jan. 04, 2026

compromise of sound teeth or developing tooth buds during surgical fixation can significantly worsen long-term dental outcomes. To minimize these risks, we present a case of a 15-year-old boy with a severely protruding premaxilla associated with bilateral cleft lip and palate, managed by premaxillary osteotomy combined with selective osteotomy of the vomerine bone and rigid fixation of the premaxilla to the vomer using a low-profile titanium miniplate. This approach allows stable posterosuperior repositioning of the premaxilla while avoiding fixation to the lateral maxillary segments, thereby reducing the risk of damage to erupted and unerupted teeth and preserving alveolar bone integrity.

CASE REPORT

A 15-year-old boy with a history of bilateral complete cleft lip and alveolus associated with cleft palate presented to the outpatient department with severe protrusion and inferior displacement of the premaxilla. He was born with a bilateral complete cleft lip and palate to parents with a first degree consanguineous marriage. There was no reported family history of cleft lip, cleft palate, or other craniofacial anomalies among siblings and first- or second-degree relatives. Primary lip repair was performed during infancy at another center, however, bilateral alveolar clefts remained unrepaired. At the age of 10 years, the patient underwent secondary lip revision at our institution for correction of a whistling deformity. Despite previous surgical interventions, the premaxilla remained markedly protruded and malpositioned, resulting in lip incompetence, widened alveolar clefts, and associated functional and aesthetic concerns, necessitating further surgical management. On examination, patient had lip incompetence, with protrusion and downward displacement of premaxilla, wide unrepaired bilateral alveolar clefts and oronasal fistula between incisors and canine (Figure 1).



Fig. 1: Pre-Operative Frontal and Lateral Views

After approval from IRB (Ref: AWS/2023/1214), the procedure was performed under general anaesthesia. Pre-operative consent, clinical photographs, and radiographic evaluation with orthopantomograph

(Figure 2), and intraoral occlusal view was performed. The amount of osteotomy was decided preoperatively on clinical examination and by prediction tracing on occlusal view.

After oral intubation, local anaesthesia 2% lidocaine with 1:100000 adrenaline was infiltrated in the mucoperiosteum of premaxilla. The mucoperiosteum over the stalk of premaxilla was elevated properly and underlying bone was exposed. That bone was scored with the help of a fissure bur in piezoelectric surgical hand-piece. This block of the bone was measured and 12 mm was removed from this stalk (Figure 3). Care was taken to avoid injury of the nasal mucosa.



Fig. 2: Pre-Operative Orthopantomogram



Fig. 3: Intra-Operative Bone Removal



Fig. 4: Post-Operative Frontal And Lateral Views



Fig. 5: Post-Operative Occlusal View

After osteotomy and osteotomy, the premaxilla was repositioned manually, superiorly and posteriorly. After repositioning, premaxilla was fixed with a four-hole titanium L-shaped miniplate. Plate was fixed with bicortical screws with one screw in each hole. Closure of oronasal fistula was also performed. The mucoperiosteum was sutured back with 4-0 absorbable sutures. We followed the patient for one year and found him doing well without any complications. (Figure 4). After one year, an occlusal view was taken to assess the bony union (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

Congenital clefts of the lip and/or palate are among the most common congenital craniofacial deformity. Patients born with bilateral cleft lip and palate have premaxillary protrusion and jaw deformities causing malposition of premaxilla and lateral bony segments. Before bone grafting, patients can be treated with orthodontics, premaxillary osteotomy⁶ and Distraction osteogenesis⁷, to decrease alveolar cleft gap and alignment of premaxilla with lateral bony segments. The minimum age described for premaxillary osteotomy is 8 years, so that normal maxillary growth is minimally interrupted.

Premaxillary osteotomy can be fixed with Kirschner wire⁸, occlusal splints⁹ cemented to maxillary arch, and direct interdental wiring¹⁰. Surgeons face problems with these fixation methods, such as, inadequate position¹¹, inadequate stability, tipping, malunion¹² and loss of premaxilla due to poor perfusion and damage to permanent tooth buds¹³.

In this article, we presented a technique to reposition and premaxilla fixation with miniplate after performing osteotomy and osteotomy of vomerine bone. The miniplate fixation for premaxillary osteotomy has been described by Carlini et al¹⁴, but the technique that we used has difference of repositioning and fixation of premaxilla posterosuperiorly to the vomerian bone, and lateral segment of the maxilla are spared so that damage to permanent unerupted tooth buds is avoided. To prevent tipping and mobility of premaxilla, we removed the part of vomerine stalk and fixed it with the miniplate so that good union of bony segments can be achieved. Although the cost of plate is high but good results can be achieved with this technique.

CONCLUSION

Premaxillary osteotomy followed by rigid fixation of the premaxilla to the vomer using a miniplate, provides a stable and effective method for correcting severe premaxillary protrusion in patients with bilateral cleft

lip and palate. In the present case, this technique resulted in satisfactory posterosuperior repositioning of the premaxilla, good bony union, and an uneventful postoperative course without dental, vascular, or soft-tissue complications. By avoiding fixation to the lateral maxillary segments, the risk of damage to erupted and unerupted teeth is minimized, thereby preserving alveolar bone and dental structures. This approach offers a reliable surgical option and expands the armamentarium of cleft surgeons for managing complex premaxillary deformities in selected patients.

Funding: Nil

Conflict of interest: Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions: **SK:** Conception and design of the study, literature review, data collection, manuscript drafting and final approval. **TA:** Supervision, study guidance, critical review of the manuscript and intellectual input. **MAG:** Expert input, manuscript review and critical revision. **NRQ:** Overall supervision, final review, and approval of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Scott R, Scott J, Stagnell S, Robinson S, Flood T. Outcomes of 44 consecutive complete bilateral cleft lip and palate patients treated with secondary alveolar bone grafting and premaxillary osteotomy. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2017 May;54(3):249-55.
2. Hamdan US, Younan RA, Haddad M, Melhem AM, Najjar W, Hussein S, Kantar RS, Annan B, Johnson A, Liau J. Single-Stage Posterior Vomerine Osteotomy and Primary Cheiloplasty in Patients with Bilateral Cleft Lip & Palate and Protuberant Premaxilla. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 2023 May 30:10556656231179609. doi: 10.1177/10556656231179609. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 37253459.
3. Koh KS, Han WY, Jeong WS, Oh TS, Kwon SM, Choi JW. Premaxillary Repositioning in the Severe Form of Bilateral Cleft Lip and Palate. J Craniofac Surg. 2016 Sep;27(6):1440-4. doi: 10.1097/SCS.0000000000002729. PMID: 27300460
4. Rahpeyma A, Khajehahmadi S, Ghasemi A. Premaxillary osteotomy fixation in bilateral cleft lip/palate: Introducing a new technique. Asian J Surg. 2016 Apr;39(2):90-5. doi: 10.1016/j.asjsur.2015.03.016. Epub 2015 Jun 29. PMID: 26138519.
5. Chauhan JS, Sharma S, Bandi N. Secondary correction of premaxilla in bilateral cleft lip and palate with lag-screw fixation. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2020 Nov 1;58(9):1123-7.
6. Chung KH, Sato N, Chou PY, Lo LJ. An intraoperative rescue procedure for the protruding premaxilla in the repair of complete bilateral cleft lip: rapid premaxillary molding. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2021 Feb;58(2):251-6.

7. Luo X, Huang H, Yin X, Shi B, Li J. Functional stability analyses of maxillofacial skeleton bearing cleft deformities. *Sci Rep.* 2019 Mar 12;9(1):4261. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40478-w. PMID: 30862870
8. Kobayashi S, Hirakawa T, Fukawa T, Satake T, Maegawa J. Synchronous premaxillary osteotomy with primary cheiloplasty for BCLP patients with protrusion of the premaxillae. *Plat Reconstr Surg Glob Open.* 2017 nov 7;5(11):e1402. doi: 10.1097/GOX.1402. PMID: 29263944.
9. Scott K, Sudduth JD, Ormiston L, Marquez JL, Jolly L, Zahid R, Siddiqi F, Yamashiro D, Gociman B. A Custom Stabilizing Splint for the Management of BCLP with Protruding Premaxilla. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open.* 2022 Nov 7;10(11): e4653. doi:10.1097/GOX.0000000004653.eCollection 2022 Nov. PMID: 36381491
10. Bittermann GK, de Ruiter AP, Janssen NG, Bittermann AJ, van der Molen AM, van Es RJ, Rosenberg AJ, Koole R. Management of the premaxilla in the treatment of bilateral cleft of lip and palate: what can the literature tell us? *Clin Oral Investig.* 2016 Mar;20(2):207-17. doi: 10.1007/s00784-015-1589-y. Epub 2015 Sep 16. PMID: 26374747
11. Drilleaud A, Corre P, Perrin JP, Loin J, Durand T, Salagnac JM, Delaire J, Mercier JM, Bertin H. Surgical Repositioning of the Premaxilla: Incidence, Indications and Growth Study About a 189 Bilateral Cleft Lip+Palate Population. *The Cleft Palate Craniofacial Journal.* 2023 Feb;60(2):159-67.
12. Park YW, Kim CW. Bilateral cleft lip repair with simultaneous premaxillary setback and primary limited rhinoplasty. *Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2018 Dec 20;40(1). doi: 10.1186/s40902-018-0182-0. PMID: 30613573
13. Kobayashi S, Hirakawa T, Sasaki Y, Fukawa T, Maegawa J. Orthodontic premaxillary setback versus premaxillary osteotomy with gingivoperiosteoplasty for Bilateral cleft lip and palate patients: 4-year observation outcomes. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery.* 2019 Nov 1;72(11):1813-8.
14. J.L.Carlino, C. Biron, K. Gomes, R.M. Da Silva. Surgical repositioning of the premaxilla with bone graft in 50 bilateral cleft lip and palate patients. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2009;67(4):760-766. doi:10.1016